

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8
9 Jesus Venegas-Lares,) No. CV 15-00330-PHX-FJM
10 Petitioner,) CR 12-00408-1-PHX-FJM
11 vs.)
12 United States,)
13 Respondent.)
14
15 _____)

ORDER

16 The Court has before it Petitioner's Motion to Vacate under §2255 (Doc. 1), the
17 Respondent's Response, the Petitioner's Reply, the Magistrate Judge's Report and
18 Recommendation (R & R) (Doc. 23), Petitioner's Objections (Doc. 24), and the
19 Respondent's Response (Doc. 25).

20 I have reviewed de novo the Objections and the R & R under Rule 72(b)(3), Fed. R. Civ.
21 P. I have reviewed the transcripts. I was the sentencing judge. The Petitioner's claims that
22 he was denied effective assistance of counsel are without merit for the reasons stated in the
23 R & R. We accept the recommended disposition (Doc. 23).

24 Accordingly, it is ORDERED DENYING petitioner's Motion to vacate under 28 U.S.C.
25 §2255 (Doc. 1). It is further ORDERED DENYING a Certificate of Appealability under

26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 Rule 11, Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings, for the reason that petitioner has not
2 made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right under 28 U.S.C. §2253(c).

3

4 DATED this 15th day of March, 2017.

5

Frederick J. Martone

6 Frederick J. Martone

7 Senior United States District Judge

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28